UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,229	08/27/2003	Chung-Hui Chen	26035-US-PA	4340
31561 7590 03/26/2008 JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2			EXAMINER	
			SEYE, ABDOU K	
TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2194		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/648,229	CHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Abdou Karim Seye	2194			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timing the solution of t	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/07 This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examinet 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the orange of the strength of t	r election requirement. r. epted or b)⊡ objected to by the B drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	•	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/648,229 Page 2

Art Unit: 2195

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Argument

1. Claims 1-8 are pending in this application.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claimed element "rang" (line16) should be "range" and the claimed element "drive" (line 22) should be "driver". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Kita et**
- al. (US 20030054821) in view of Hasegawa (US 20030074592).

Art Unit: 2195

As per Claims 1 and 6, <u>Kita</u> teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a control method for setting up operation time of a wireless connection device, which is applied to a computer device comprising said wireless connection device and a driver program, wherein said driver program has a detection control software for setting a detection operation time of said wireless connection device the control method comprising:

enabling the driver program to activate said wireless connection device to detect within a limit distance of searching range and search for any wireless electronic device for online connection, and when the internal clock of said computer device reaches the end of the interval time according to this predetermined detection operation time (FIG. 3; paragraph 66-67; FIG. 5; paragraph 84-85)

enabling the drive program to shut down said wireless connection device (FIG. 7; paragraph 109-111).

However, <u>Kita</u> does not explicitly teach, an internal clock of said computer device using a START time or an END time which is controlled by the device to perform detection operation.

Whereas, in the same field of endeavor <u>Hasegawa</u> discloses determining whether an internal clock of said computer device reaches a START time or an END time of said detection operation time when the computer device is booted and then turning on or turning off said wireless connection device according to the result of the determining, wherein the internal clock of said computer device reaches said START

time of the detection operation time according to a predetermined value of the detection operation time (FIG. 3; FIG 4A-B; and FIG. 5; paragraph 45-47 and paragraph 50-56).

Page 4

It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kita's invention with Hasagawa's invention to include a predetermined time scheduler/clock process for determining start time and end time of a computer device and turning on or off a wireless connection according to the result of the determining. One would be motivated to provide such scheduler/clock software program in order to allow synchronization of computer elements connected to local area network (Hasegawa's; paragraph 65).

As to claim 2, determining whether or not said wireless connection device being is connectible to any wireless electronic device; and when said wireless connection device is not connectible to any wireless electronic device, determining whether or not the internal clock of said computer device reaches the END time and enabling said driver to shut down said wireless connection device when the internal clock of said computer device reaches the END time.

As to claim3, <u>Hasegawa</u> teaches, wherein when said internal clock of said computer device has not reached the START time, said detection control software will repeatedly determine whether or not the internal clock of said computer device has reached the

Application/Control Number: 10/648,229

Art Unit: 2195

START time until the internal clock of said computer has reached the STAR time (FIG.

Page 5

10; paragraph 96).

As to claim 5, <u>Hasegawa</u> teaches wherein when said internal clock of the computer

device has not reached the END time, said detection control software will repeatedly

determine whether or not said internal clock of the computer device has reached the

END time until said internal clock of the computer device has reached the END time

(FIG 10; paragraph 97).

As to Claims 4, 7 and 8, they are rejected for the same reasons as the claims above.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the

applicant's disclosure.

Tanaka et al. (20040259642) discloses a game system, game apparatus,

storage medium storing game program and game data exchange method.

Kato (20020037713) discloses a service searching system.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,229

Art Unit: 2195

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Exr. Abdou Seye whose telephone number is (571) 270-

Page 6

1062. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to

4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, contact the

examiner's supervisor, An Meng at (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for

formal or official faxes to Technology Center 3600 is (571) 273-8300. Draft or

informal faxes, which will not be entered in the application, may be submitted

directly to the examiner at (571) 273-6722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3600.

/Meng-Ai An/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

AKS

March 11, 2008